



VicHealth

# Social Inclusion

as a determinant of mental health and wellbeing

MENTAL HEALTH & WELLBEING UNIT



January 2005

These research summaries have been developed to assist in the dissemination of data on the impact of mental health problems and links between a variety of factors and mental health and wellbeing. The data was gathered with support from the Sydney Health Projects Group to assist the development of the VicHealth Mental Health Promotion Plan 2005-2007.

The research summaries include data relevant to the burden of disease associated with mental illness and mental health problems, and the three factors influencing mental health and wellbeing that VicHealth focuses on in the Plan: social inclusion; freedom from discrimination and violence; and access to economic resources.

It should be noted that data included in these research summaries has been drawn from evidence reviews and independent studies, however not all data has been exposed to systematic review. Therefore an extensive reference list, which will allow users to follow up data sources, is also included.

## Research summaries in this series:

1. Burden of disease due to mental illness and mental health problems
2. Social inclusion as a determinant of mental health and wellbeing
3. Discrimination and violence as determinants of mental health and wellbeing
4. Access to economic resources as a determinant of mental health and wellbeing

## Key definitions & concepts

### Social inclusion

A socially inclusive society is defined as one where all people feel valued, their differences are respected, and their basic needs are met so they can live in dignity. Social exclusion is the process of being shut out from the social, economic, political and cultural systems which contribute to the integration of a person into the community (Cappo 2002).

Social networks can provide social support, social influence, opportunities for social engagement and meaningful social roles as well as access to resources and intimate one-on-one contact (Berkman & Glass 2000).

### Social capital

Social capital is a term used to describe the particular features of social relationships within a group or community. This includes such things as the extent of trust between people; whether they have a shared understanding of how they should behave toward, and care for one another; and the extent of participation in civic organisations, such as sporting clubs and school councils.

Social capital on its own will not be enough to address the problems facing many communities. However, there is a broad consensus that it can have benefits for individuals; is an important resource for supporting communities to take action on issues of concern to them; and can contribute to social and economic growth (Whiteford, Cullen and Baingana, in press).

Three types of social capital have been identified:

- Bonding capital, which refers to the relationships and bonds among close family members, friends and neighbours;
- Bridging capital, which refers to the weaker ties that are formed among distant friends, acquaintances, colleagues and associates; and
- Linking capital, which refers to the connections between institutions and members of a community, or between groups with different levels of power and social status (Putnam, 2000; Woolcock, 2001).

### **Social exclusion: Some indicators**

Nearly 16% of Australian households cannot afford to participate in social activities such as family holidays, having a night out or having family or friends over for a meal (Saunders 2003).

The proportion of people living alone is increasing markedly, with projections indicating that by the year 2021 between 2.4 and 3.4 million people could be living alone, an increase of 52-113% from the number in 1996 (ABS 2002). Between 1992 and 1997 the proportion of waking time people spent alone increased by 14% to 3 hours per day (ABS 2000). While living and being alone can be a positive choice for many, studies indicate that rates of mental and behavioural problems and psychological stress are higher among adults who live alone than in adults living in a household with at least one other person (ABS 2003).

Participation in education is a key means of reducing the risk of social exclusion and poverty (BSL 2004, Anglicare 2004). However, people from lower socio-economic status backgrounds are more likely to leave school early, have lower rates of literacy and numeracy and comprise a declining proportion of enrolments in higher education (Anglicare 2003).

Baum's study in suburban Australia identified levels of participation in social and civic activities were significantly influenced by socio-economic status with a relative lack of involvement of people with low income and education levels (Baum 2000).

## The link between social inclusion and mental health & wellbeing

A study of 2000 people in Finland found that social support strengthened mental health in all respondents (Sohlman 2004).

Young people reporting poor social connectedness (that is, having no-one to talk to, no-one to trust, no-one to depend on, and no-one who knows them well) are between two and three times more likely to experience depressive symptoms compared with peers who reported the availability of more confiding relationships (Glover et al, 1998).

A large meta-analysis of routinely collected data from 1952-1993 found a significant increase in mean levels of anxiety among US college students and school children which was correlated with reduced social connectedness (Twenge, 2000).

Evidence of significant and persistent correlations has been found between poor social networks (weak social ties, social connectedness, social integration, social activity, and social embeddedness) and mortality from almost every cause of death (Seeman 2000; Berkman & Glass 2000; Eng et. al 2002).

Studies have consistently demonstrated people who are socially isolated or disconnected from others have between two and five times the risk of dying from all causes compared to those who maintain strong ties with family, friends & community (Berkman & Glass 2000).

Belonging to a social network of communication and mutual obligation makes people feel cared for, loved, esteemed and valued. This has a powerful protective effect on health. Supportive relationships may also encourage healthier behaviour patterns (Wilkinson & Marmot 2003).

Two different but potentially complimentary mechanisms have been proposed to explain how social networks influence mental health. Social networks may have a beneficial effect on mental health regardless of whether or not the individuals are under stress, social networks may also improve the wellbeing of those under stress by acting as a buffer or moderator of that stress (Kawachi & Berkman, 2001).

By providing emotional support, companionship and opportunities for meaningful social engagement, social networks have an influence on self-esteem, coping effectiveness, depression, distress and sense of wellbeing (Berkman & Glass, 2000).

Social networks and social ties have a beneficial effect on mental health outcomes, including stress reactions, psychological wellbeing, and symptoms of psychological distress including depression and anxiety (Kawachi & Berkman 2001).

Whiteford, Cullen and Baingana (in press) indicate that :

- The benchmark Whitehall study demonstrated the link between social exclusion and ill health, and social isolation has been linked to unhappiness, illness, and shortened life.
- Socialising with colleagues from work, attending religious services and participation in clubs is related to positive health status.
- Vulnerability for depression includes the lack of confiding relationships, unemployment and low social status all of which can derive from a breakdown in social cohesion. Even in conditions where psychosocial factors are generally not considered to be pathological, this relationship has been reported. For example, socially isolated elderly people have a relatively greater risk of developing Alzheimer's disease.

Social relationships have potentially health promoting and health damaging effects. Positive mental and physical health effects are associated with social interactions among older adults, including better recovery after disease onset. Critical and/or overly demanding social ties have however been correlated with increased stress and risk of depression among the elderly (Seeman, 2000).

The amount of emotional and practical social support people get varies by social and economic status. Poverty can contribute to social exclusion and isolation. People who get less social and emotional support are more likely to experience more depression (Wilkinson & Marmot 2003).

The Victorian Population Health Survey (VPHS) 2002 found that people with few social networks were more likely to report fair to poor health and to be experiencing some level of psychological distress. The study identifies higher network scores were associated with those who lived in rural areas, older age groups, those who were Australian born and those who were employed. Higher network scores were also associated with a range of benefits including an increased ability to get help in an emergency, feeling valued by society, accepting diversity and better health outcomes (DHS 2003).

An analysis of VPHS community strength indicator data undertaken by the Department of Victorian Communities shows that people who participate and those who can get help when needed are healthier and feel more positive about the communities in which they live. It also shows inequalities between population groups, most notably between socio-economic and ethnic groups (DVC 2004).

Baum et al. (2000) found mental health status was more strongly correlated with levels of participation in social and community life than physical health.

A national survey conducted by the Australian Bureau of Statistics in 2001 found rates of mental and behavioural problems and 'a very high level of psychological distress' were higher amongst adults who lived alone compared with adults living in a household with at least one other person (ABS 2003).

People are increasingly more likely to live alone and spend much more time by themselves according to an Australian Bureau of Statistics study which found that between 1992 and 1997, the percentage of our waking time spent alone increased by 14% to 3 hours a day (ABS 2000).

### **The link between social capital and mental health & wellbeing**

There is growing evidence of correlations between various dimensions of social capital and aspects of mental health such as: common mental illnesses (Pevalin, 2002; Pevalin & Rose, 2002); happiness and wellbeing (Saguaro Seminar, 2001; Putnam, 2001); self-assessed mental health status (Baum et al, 2000); depressive symptoms (Ostir et al, 2003); feelings of insecurity related to crime (Lindstrom et al, 2003); general psychological distress (Berry & Rickwood, 2000; Berry & Rogers, 2003); emotional health (Rose, 2000); and binge drinking (Weitzman & Kawachi, 2000).

Although low levels of social capital have been correlated with poorer health, including mental health, a large UK study has found that social capital does not moderate or buffer the negative impact of structural socio-economic factors on health or common mental illness (Pevalin and Rose, 2002).

Greater levels of community participation, social support and trust in others in the community have been associated with reduced experience of psychological distress (Berry & Rickwood, 2000).

Lower levels of social trust have been associated with higher rates of most causes of death, including heart attacks, cancer, stroke, unintentional injury and infant mortality (Kawachi & Berkman 2000).

Variations in anti-social behaviour and suicidal behaviour have been traced to strengths or absences of social cohesion (OECD 2001).

Whiteford, Cullen and Bangana (in press) indicate that :

- There is a correlation between poor health and lower levels of social capital as evidenced by levels of interpersonal trust and norms of reciprocity (both of which can serve as indicators for social capital).
- There is evidence for an inverse relationship between social capital and the presence of mental disorders in populations.
- Social scientists have demonstrated higher social capital may protect individuals from social isolation, create social safety, lower crime levels, improve schooling and education, enhance community life and improve work outcomes.
- The same strong ties that are needed for people to act together can also exclude non-members, such as the poor or minority groups. Strong ties within the group may lead to less trust and reciprocity to those outside the group.
- Analysis of ecological factors indicates societies with low trust levels exhibit higher rates of violent and property crime, such as homicide, assault, robbery and burglary.

For more information visit [www.vichealth.vic.gov.au/MHWU/](http://www.vichealth.vic.gov.au/MHWU/)

## References:

- Anglicare Australia (2003) *Education: Crucial to Break the Cycle of Poverty* available on Inequality in Australia breakthecycle campaign <http://www.anglicare.asn.au> accessed December 2004.
- ABS 2000, *Australian Social Trends 1999, Family Functioning: Spending time alone* Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra.
- ABS 2002, *Australian Demographic Statistics: Who'll be Home Alone in 2021?*, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra.
- ABS 2003, *National Health Survey: Mental Health*, Cat no. 4811.0, Australian Bureau of Statistics, Canberra.
- Baum F, Bush R, Modra C, Murray C, Cox E, Alexander K, Potter R. 2000, "Epidemiology of participation: an Australian community study". *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*; 54(6): 414-423.
- Berkman LF & Glass T 2000, Social integration, social networks, social support & health. in: *Social Epidemiology*, eds Berkman LF, Kawachi I New York; Oxford University Press.
- Berry HL, Rickwood DJ 2000, "Measuring social capital at the individual level: personal social capital, values and psychological distress". *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion* 2(3); 35-44.
- Berry HL, Rogers B 2003, Trust and distress in three generations of rural Australians. *Australian Psychiatry* 11(Supplement 1): S131-S137.
- Brotherhood of St Laurence (BSL) 2002, *Unemployment and Poverty: Facts, Figures and Suggestions for the Future*, BSL, Melbourne.
- BSL 2004, *Federal Election. Brotherhood of St Laurence Call to the Parties* BSL, Fitzroy.
- Brunner E 1997, "Stress and the Biology of Inequality", *British Medical Journal*.
- Cappo D 2002, Social inclusion initiative. Social inclusion, participation and empowerment. Address to Australian Council of Social Services National Congress 28-29 November, 2002, Hobart.
- Cullen M, Whiteford H 2001, *The interrelations of social capital with health and mental health; discussion paper*. National Mental Health Strategy, Commonwealth of Australia.
- DHS 2003, *Victorian Population Health Survey 2002: Selected findings*, Department of Human Services, Melbourne pp. 71.
- DVC 2004, *Indicators of Community Strength*, Department for Victorian Communities, Melbourne.
- Eng, P. Rimm, E. Fitzmaurice, G. & Kawachi, I. 2002, "Social Ties and Changes in Social Ties in Relation to Subsequent Total and Cause-specific Mortality and Coronary Disease Incidence in Men", *American Journal of Epidemiology* 155, 700-9.
- Glover, S. Burns, J. Butler, H. & Patton, G. 1998, "Social Environments and the Emotional Wellbeing of Young People" *Family Matters* No 49 Australian Institute of Family Studies.
- Kawachi I & Berkman LF 2001, "Social ties and mental health". *Journal of Urban Health* 2001; 78(3):458-467.
- Lindstrom M, Merlo J, Ostergren P 2003, "Social capital and sense of insecurity in the neighbourhood: a population-based multilevel analysis in Malmo, Sweden", *Social Science & Medicine* ; 56: 1111-1120.
- Pevalin D. *Intra-household differences in neighbourhood attachment and their associations with health*. An analysis of the British Household Panel Survey 2002. Conference paper at Social Action for Health and Wellbeing, Health Development Agency. London: June 21-22.
- Pevalin DJ, Rose D. 2002, *Social capital for health; investigating the links between social capital and health using the British Household Panel Survey*. (NHS) Health Development Agency.
- Putnam R. "Social Capital; measurement and consequences". *Canadian Journal of Policy Research* 2001; 2(1): 41-51.
- OECD 2001. *The Wellbeing of Nations. The Role of Human and Social Capital*. Paris Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).
- Ostir GV, Eschbach K, Markides KS, Goodwin JS. "Neighbourhood composition and depressive symptoms among older Mexican Americans". *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2003; 57: 987-992.
- Rose R 2000, "How much does social capital add to individual health? A survey study of Russians", *Social Science & Medicine*; 51: 1421-1435.

Saguaro Seminar. *Better Together: report of the Saguaro Seminar on Civic Engagement in America* 2000, (revised 2002). John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University (Cambridge, MA). Available at: <http://www.bettertogether.org/aboutthereport.htm>

Saunders P 2003, *Can Social Exclusion Provide a New Framework for Measuring Poverty?* Social Policy Research Centre (SPRC) Discussion Paper 127, SPRC, Sydney.

Seeman, TE. 2000, "Health promoting effects of friends and family on health outcomes in older adults" *American Journal of Health Promotion* 14(6): 362-70.

Sohlman B "A functional model of mental health as the desciber of positive mental health." STAKES Research Reports 137 National Research and Development for Welfare and Health: Helsinki 2004.

Twenge JM. "The age of anxiety? Birth cohort change in anxiety and neuroticism, 1952 - 1993", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 2000; 79(6): 1007-1021.

Weitzman ER, Kawachi I 2000, "Giving means receiving: the protective effect of social capital on binge drinking on college campuses", *American Journal of Public Health*; 90(12): 1936-1939.

Wilkinson R & Marmot M 2003, *Social Determinants of Health: The Solid Facts*. 2nd edition World Health Organisation, Geneva.

Woolcock M. The place of social capital in understanding social and economic outcomes. *Canadian Journal of Policy Research*, Spring 2001; 2(1): 11-17.

Whiteford H, Cullen M & Baingana F in press, Social Capital and Mental Health in *Promoting Mental Health: Concepts, Emerging Evidence, Practice*, A Report from the World Health Organisation, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse in collaboration with the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation (VicHealth) and the University of Melbourne, Herrman, H., Saxena, S & Moodie, R (eds) World Health Organisation, Geneva.